Case 3:08-cv-03394-MHP Document 10 Filed 08/05/08 Page 1 of 2

DRINKER BIDDLE &
REATH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND SF01/598267.1

CASE No. CV-08-3394 WDB

Case 3:08-cv-03394-MHP Document 10 Filed 08/05/08 Page 2 of 2

Filed 07/28/2008

Page 2 of 2

Document 9

Case 4:08-cv-03394-WDB

1 Cynthia M. Rufe, of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. 2 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, stipulations which alter the date of any event or any deadline 3 already fixed by the Court require court approval. Although the parties believe this stipulation 4 will have no such effect, to the extent that it does, the parties request that this Court approve the 5 proposed order below, allowing the time frame for Defendants to move, answer, or otherwise 6 respond to Plaintiff's Complaint to be governed by the Pretrial Orders entered in the multi-district 7 litigation, In re Avandia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation, MDL 1871 8 (E.D. Pa.). 9 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 10 Dated: July 28, 2008 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 11 12 By: /S/ Krista L. Cosner Krista L. Cosner 13 Attorneys for Defendants SMITHKLINE BEECHAM 14 CORPORATION d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE and McKESSON 15 CORPORATION 16 17 Dated: July 28, 2008 THE MILLER FIRM, LLC 18 19 By: /S/ David Andersen David Andersen 20 Attorneys for Plaintiff RONALD T. HAMMER 21 Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED: 22 23 24 25 26 27

¹ The above-entitled action was tagged for transfer to MDL 1871 and awaits transfer by the Judicial Panel

28

SF01/598267.1

on Multidistrict Litigation.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND - 2 -